## Forbidden decays $\psi' \rightarrow \eta + \psi$ and $\psi' \rightarrow \pi^0 + \psi$

R. Bhandari and L. Wolfenstein

Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

(Received 3 August 1977)

It is proposed that the SU(3) violation in the decay  $\psi \to \eta + \psi$  arises from the proximity of the  $\overline{D}D$  threshold to the  $\psi'$  mass in contrast to the  $\overline{F}F$  threshold. In this case the  $D^+ - D^0$  mass splitting leads to the SU(2)-violating decay  $\psi' \to \pi^0 + \psi$ , which is calculated to have a rate not far below its present experimental limit.

Assuming  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  are SU(3) singlets<sup>1</sup> and  $\eta$  is an SU(3) octet, the decay  $\psi' \rightarrow \psi + \eta$  is SU(3)-forbidden. Considering that the decay is forbidden by the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) rule and is a p-wave decay with little phase space, Harari2 and others have suggested that the observed decay width<sup>3</sup> of about 10 keV cannot be explained by normal SU(3)violation mechanisms such as  $\eta$ - $\eta'$  mixing. Harari suggests<sup>4</sup> that  $\eta$  contains a 1% admixture of  $\overline{c}c$ , allowing  $\psi' - \psi + \eta$  via  $\psi' - \overline{c}c$   $\overline{c}c$ ; however, while this transition is allowed by the OZI rule, it is suppressed by the necessity of producing a  $\bar{c}c$  pair from the vacuum. Furthermore, a detailed calculation by Voloshin<sup>5</sup> based on the measured width for  $\psi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$  gives the result that the  $\bar{c}c$  admixture in  $\eta$  makes a negligible contribution to the observed decay width for  $\psi' + \psi + \eta$ . In this note we consider an alternative theoretical explanation, namely, that the SU(3) violation can be explained by the small energy gap between  $\psi'$  and the  $\overline{D}D$  threshold in contrast to the much larger gap to the  $\overline{F}F$  threshold. If this explanation is correct, then the splitting between the  $D^0\overline{D}^0$  and  $D^+D^-$  thresholds leads to an SU(2) violation that would induce the SU(2)forbidden decay  $\psi' \rightarrow \psi + \pi^0$ . We do not attempt to calculate the absolute rate for  $\psi' \rightarrow \psi + \eta$ , but we do calculate the ratio of the decay rates for  $\psi' \rightarrow \psi + \pi^0$ to the known rate for  $\psi' - \psi + \eta$ ; this prediction then can provide a test of our explanation for  $\psi' - \psi + \eta$ .

We consider the  $\psi'$  state to be given by the standard  $c\overline{c}$  state plus an admixture of the continuum states  $C_1 = D^0\overline{D}^0$ ,  $C_2 = D^+D^-$ ,  $C_3 = F^+F^-$ , assumed calculable by perturbation theory in the following way:

$$\left|\psi'\right\rangle = N\left|c\overline{c}\right\rangle + \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left|C_{i}(E)\right\rangle \frac{\left\langle C_{i}(E)\right| H'\left|c\overline{c}\right\rangle}{E + M_{i} - M} \ dE \ ,$$

where M is the  $\psi'$  mass,  $M_i$  is the threshold energy for the state i, and N is the normalization factor. The perturbation H' connects  $c\overline{c}$  to the continuum  $C_i(E)$ . For our purposes, states such as

 $D^{*0}\overline{D}{}^0$  and  $D^{*0}\overline{D}{}^{*0}$  can be included in  $C_1$  and similarly for  $C_2$  and  $C_3$ . As a result of Eq. (1), the matrix element for the transition  $\psi' \to \psi + \pi^0/\eta$  may be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \langle P_j \, \psi | T | \, \psi' \, \rangle &= \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \, \int_0^\infty \, dE \, \langle P_j \, \psi | T | C_{\mathbf{i}} \langle E \rangle \rangle \\ &\times \frac{1}{(E + M_{\mathbf{i}} - M)} \, \langle C_{\mathbf{i}}(E) | H' | c \overline{c} \, \rangle \; , \end{split} \label{eq:definition}$$

where  $P_j$  is  $\pi^0$  or  $\eta$ . Further, after the extraction of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients  $X_{ji}$  connecting the states  $C_i$  to the octet state  $P_j + \psi$ , Eq. (2) reduces to

$$\langle P_j \psi | T | \psi' \rangle = \sum_i X_{ji} \int_0^\infty \frac{\rho(E) dE}{E + M_i - M} .$$
 (3)

The factor  $\rho(E)$  is independent of the index i; this corresponds to the crucial assumption in our model that the dynamics is SU(3) invariant, and the large observed SU(3) violation arises from the difference in the threshold energies  $M_i$  in Eq. (3).<sup>6</sup>

$$a_{i} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(E) dE}{E + M_{i} - M}$$
 (4)

it follows from Eq. (3) that

$$\langle \eta \psi | T | \psi' \rangle = (a_1 + a_2 - 2a_3) / \sqrt{6}$$
, (5a)

$$\langle \pi^0 \psi | T | \psi' \rangle = (a_1 - a_2) / \sqrt{2} . \tag{5b}$$

The ratio of the two decays is then given by

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(\psi' - \pi^0 + \psi)}{\Gamma(\psi' - \eta + \psi)} = \frac{3}{4} p \gamma, \qquad (6)$$

$$r = (a_1 - a_2)^2 / \left[ \frac{1}{2} (a_1 + a_2) - a_3 \right]^2, \tag{7}$$

where p is the ratio of phase spaces. Assuming the phase space is given by a standard p-wave form

$$\phi \sim k^3/(1+k^2a^2),$$

we find  $p \approx 5$  if a equals 1 F. In Eq. (4), although

17

 $\rho(E)$  is independent of the index i, its dependence on E is determined by the details of the dynamics. Our goal is to find results which are not very dependent on the dynamics. From Eqs. (7) and (4) we obtain

$$r = \frac{x^2}{(1 - \frac{1}{2}x)^2} , (8a)$$

$$x = \frac{M_2 - M_1}{M_2 - M_1} F,$$
 (8b)

$$F = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dE \, \rho(E)}{(E + M_1 - M)(E + M_2 - M)}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dE \, \rho(E)}{(E + M_1 - M)(E + M_3 - M)}} . \tag{8c}$$

Since  $M_1 < M_2 < M_3$ , and assuming  $\rho(E)$  is positive-definite, it follows from Eq. (8c) that

$$1 < F < (M_3 - M)/(M_2 - M)$$
 (9)

From Eqs. (6) and (8) we then obtain

$$\frac{3}{4} p \frac{\left[\frac{M_3 - M}{M_2 - M}\right]^2 \left[\frac{M_2 - M_1}{M_3 - M_1}\right]^2}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_2 - M_1}{M_3 - M_1} \frac{M_3 - M}{M_2 - M}\right)^2} > R > \frac{3}{4} p \left[\frac{M_2 - M_1}{M_3 - M_1}\right]^2.$$

(10)

Setting p = 5,  $M(D^0) = 1863$  MeV,  $M(F^+) = 2040$  MeV, and  $M(D^+) - M(D^0) = 5$  MeV (Ref. 8) we find

$$0.2 > R > 0.003. \tag{11}$$

The present experimental limit<sup>3</sup> is R < 0.04; thus our model implies that the decay  $\psi' - \psi + \pi^0$  should be found if experiments can be improved by an order of magnitude.

To test the self-consistency of our model we consider other possible final states resulting from the admixed states  $C_i$ . We assume that final

TABLE I. Lower limit on  $R(\times 100)$  for various mass combinations.

$M(D^+) - M(D^0)$ $M(F^+)$ $(\text{Ge V})$	1.975	2.1
4	2	1
5	3	1.5
6	4	2

states, such as N pions, that contain no  $\overline{c}c$  pair are suppressed by an OZI rule. For other final states, such as  $\psi\pi\pi$ , it is necessary to include the SU(3)-invariant piece of the admixed states in addition to the SU(3)-noninvariant piece we have considered so far. The former, which is proportional to  $(a_1+a_2+a_3)/\sqrt{3}$ , is always larger than the latter, which is proportional to  $(a_1+a_2-2a_3)/\sqrt{6}$ , since all  $a_i$  are positive. In order that the states  $C_i$  do not contribute too much to the SU(3)-invariant decays, we impose the requirement that

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_3}{\sqrt{3}} \le 4 \frac{a_1 + a_2 - 2a_3}{\sqrt{6}} \,. \tag{12}$$

With this restriction on  $\rho(E)$  we obtain for a lower limit on R the values shown in Table I. Such a restriction is also needed so that the SU(3)-invariant transitions from  $C_i$  to  $\psi + \eta'$  combined with a reasonable amount of  $\eta' - \eta$  mixing do not seriously modify our original estimate Eq. (5a) for  $\psi' + \eta + \psi$ .

Our conclusion is that if the SU(3)-violating decay  $\psi' - \psi + \eta$  is to be explained by the proximity of the  $\overline{D}D$  threshold to  $\psi'$  then we expect the ratio R to be of the order 1% or larger, not far below the present experimental limit.

This work was supported by the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Evidence that  $\psi$  is an SU(3) singlet is summarized by G. Feldman, in Proceedings of Summer Institute on Particle Physics, SLAC Report No. 198, 1976 (unpublished). The standard charmonium theory requires that both  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  be SU(3) singlets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>H. Harari, Phys. Lett. 60B, 172 (1976).

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>W. Tannenbaum *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>36</u>, 402 (1976).
 <sup>4</sup>See also C. Rosenzweig, Phys. Rev. D <u>13</u>, 3080 (1976).
 <sup>5</sup>M. B. Voloshin, Report No. ITEP-142 (unpublished).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>In order to include  $D^*\overline{D} + \overline{D}^*D$  and  $D^*\overline{D}^*$  states,

we are also assuming  $M(D^{*+}) - M(D^{*0}) = M(D^{*}) - M(D^{*0})$ , which is not inconsistent with present knowledge. Our results depend primarily, however, on

 $M(D^+) - M(D^0)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>A detailed dynamical model by E. Eichten and collaborators suggests that  $\rho(E)$  may become negative for large values of E. However, our results are insensitive to the behavior of  $\rho$  at such large values of E. We are indebted to Dr. Eichten for showing us these results before publication.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Recent results give  $M(D^+)-M(D^0)=5.1\pm0.8$  MeV,  $M(D^{*+})-M(D^{*0})=2.6\pm1.8$  MeV. Within errors a common mass difference between 4 and 5 MeV fits these data. Preliminary evidence on the  $F^+$  suggests a mass of 2.040  $\pm0.060$  GeV. A range of values consistent with these data is used in Table I.